

From: Michael <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: February 7, 2005 3:06:50 PM PST
To: Plejarens_are_real_2005@yahoogroups.com
Cc: SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, Kramer <kramer@randi.org>, derek@iigwest.com,
Vaughn Rees <Vaughn@cfiwest.org>, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>
Subject: Re: [Plejarens_are_real_2005] Michael Horn and List

Hi all,

I think I made an error as I assumed that, when I said that Mr. Ike's findings help prove the case genuine, I forgot to walk him through the obvious **THINKING** process that I used, which included the following.

Since Mr. Ike took, if I recall correctly, several days of research in a modern library, and used state of the art computer technology to do online searches, it seemed obvious to me that this process was in itself contributing to validating Meier's account of things. Let's go a bit further.

According to Mr. Ike, the info regarding the discoveries on and about Jupiter were confirmed by the probe on March 5, 1979, with confirmation regarding the specifics about Io not confirmed until March 12. So, despite Mr. Ike taking several days to gather all that information, he would like us to believe that somehow, in ways that he only glosses over with shallow, unsubstantiated speculation, Meier is supposed to have, on March 5, 1979 (at the earliest) searched for all of the information in unspecified sources, had it translated by unspecified persons and concocted a dialogue between himself and Semajse (instead of just recounting the allegedly plagiarized facts) into which he then inserted the information.

Now, before we go too far, let's also note that the ten specific predictions, also presented in a complex, numbered dialogue within the same contact, have to be accounted for. Mr. Ike has already demonstrated his willingness to impugn Meier, Stevens, Vogel, Pestalozzi and Norton without offering a shred of proof. So, when Stevens said, years ago, that he had that contact information in his hands back on March 9, 1979, we are told by Ike that this must, in effect, be a lie somehow cleverly devised and conspired by the four aforementioned parties. This, of course, begs motive and also suggests prescience concerning events that would be foreseen at that time to have special and controversial significance later in

the future. Wow!

I guess Semjase was also in on that conspiracy because she tells Meier to not publish the predictions until February of 1980, thereby validating in advance the clever conspiracy presumed by Ike, i.e. the whole situation around Stevens discovering he has information that is not meant for public consumption until approximately one year later.

Gee, why weren't Meier, Stevens, Vogel, Pelozzi, Norton and Semjase either working for intelligence services or writing best-selling espionage thrillers?

Look, it is incumbent upon any person who wants to have any credibility at all, to actually **THINK** as well as to accumulate information or "facts". One has to put the information into context with known, established facts regarding Meier's life and accompanying conditions.

One has to also consider motive, opportunity and all the other quaint little matters that people such as police and legal investigators, as well as attorneys, judges and juries do when deciding a case that has bearing on the reputation, let alone the life of an individual.

I maintain that Mr. Ike, who should also now put his full identity on the table as all of ours are, has only further validated, through his lack of thinking, logic and reasoning, Meier and his enormous amount of accurate information.

MH

As I said in my report, the information I found led me to believe that the Billy Meier Contacts are most likely a hoax. Michael Horn thinks the same findings help prove the case genuine. Go figure. I think the main difference is that Michael accepts the dates given by Billy Meier and Wendelle Stevens and I don't. I tried to confirm the publication dates that Michael quotes, but I could not. Can anyone provide

documentation for the publication dates of that Meier information?? The only details Michael has given about the October 1978 publication of the 115th Contact Notes is statements that all the Contact Notes are distributed to some people in Europe shortly after they are written.

- > More importantly, the author assumes all sorts of
- > things not in evidence, such as Billy's access to,
- > and understanding of, the scientific information re
- > the Jupiter discoveries

As I said in the report: "The information I reference was generally available and likely was reported in a variety of publications worldwide." I think that is a completely reasonable assumption.

- > publishing scientific information sure to attract
- > criticism if it was wrong, which it wasn't, etc.

According to the information I found, some of Meier's scientific information **was** wrong. Read the report again if you are interested in the details.

- > But additionally compelling, even if Billy could
- > have somehow inexplicably "hoaxed" the information,
- > why would he bother when the SAME contact has ten
- > predictions that all came later true, the death of
- > Indira Ghandi in 1984 being the last one years
- > later? Remember that Stevens found out that he had
- > accidentally been given the predictions from the
- > same contact as the Jupiter information.

Before being impressed by such predictions, I need to have the exact text of the prediction and a confirmed date that the predictions were written. Can anyone provide that information for the predictions Michael is talking about here?

Michael's "Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt" paper says: "Witnessed by the three men, the information was secured until after the following specific, predicted events occurred". So, it sounds like the information wasn't widely disseminated until after the events occurred.... A competent magician or anyone with more than two friends could duplicate that feat without any help from ETs.

As you can see, I am unable to confirm to my satisfaction that Meier has successfully predicted anything.

I am interested in references to any documented facts on the subject. I would prefer not to argue about different interpretations of gray areas (such as whether Metis and Adrastea are big enough to be considered moons of Jupiter, or whether the personal testimony of Marcel Vogel or Wendelle Stevens is believable). If the case is genuine, surely there are some clear-cut facts out there somewhere.

ike42

Do you Yahoo!?
The all-new My Yahoo! - Get yours free!
<http://my.yahoo.com>

For more detailed Information on Billy Meier Case please visit

Official FIGU Website: <http://www.figu.org> (Switzerland)

Figu Study Group Website in U.S.A <http://www.billymeier.com>

Hans Georg Lanzendorfer's website in German Language: Billy Meier - neither a Guru nor a Great Master: Billy Meier - weder Guru noch grosser Meister: <http://www.lanzendorfer.ch/>

For official and well detailed documentation of technical and true scientific analyses of real metallic samples and sounds visit Michael Horn's Website "And Still They Fly" <http://www.theyfly.com/>

"Talmud of Jmmanuel"

How this document, discovered in Jerusalem in 1963 ushers in the New Age while exposing the New Testament gospels as being more

corrupt than even the Jesus Seminar suspects. The most complete and detailed study on Talmud of Jmmanuel: Dr. Jim Deardorff's TJ website: <http://www.tjresearch.info/>

Learn more about CREATIONAL LAWS Laws here on this Webpage: <http://www.avilabooks.com/Jmmanuel1.htm>

THE KEY SPIRITUAL TEACHINGS OF JMMANUEL

By Dr. Dietmar Rothe, Ph.D.

a transcript of a presentation Dr. Rothe gave at the International UFO Congress Summer Seminars on 17th of September 2001 at Laughlin, NV.

The material is copyrighted. © All rights reserved by the author. Dr. Dr. Dietmar Rothe. The web page is intended for your personal education and enjoyment only. Copying and distributing any part of that material requires written permission from the author.

Billy Meier: An English-Language Bibliography
<http://www25.brinkster.com/chancede/Meier.html>

by David E. Chance: chancede@slu.edu

Another Figu Friends JPLagasse and J. TruthSeeker:
<http://www.eduardmeier.org>

Links edited by J. Barreto & Jay Olivieri on September,10,
2004

Thank you for your membership and enjoy your stay.

Yahoo! Groups Links

- To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Plejarens_are_real_2005/
- To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
Plejarens_are_real_2005-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
- Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.